

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/576,806	EONNET, YVES	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	MARCOS BATISTA	2617	

All Participants:

(1) MARCOS BATISTA.

(2) Lance Lieberman.

Status of Application: Response after non-final action

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 10/28/2008

Time: 10:00 am

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Potential 112nd to claims 1 and 9

Claims discussed:

1 and 9

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Examiner and Lance Lieberman discussed a proposed amendment to claims 1 and 9. The proposed amendment to claims 1 and 9 reads as follows: On lines 2, 5 and 6 of claim 1 and lines 1 and 6 of claim 9, "type" has been deleted after "Internet."

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Rafael Pérez-Gutiérrez/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2617

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)